arXiv:1702.04965v1 [math.CA] 16 Feb 2017

TOPOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION OF LIMIT PERIODIC SETS OF POLYNOMIAL PLANAR VECTOR FIELDS

ANDRÉ BELOTTO DA SILVA AND JOSÉ GINÉS ESPÍN BUENDÍA

Abstract

We characterize the limit periodic sets of families of polynomial planar vector fields up to homeomorphisms. We show that any limit periodic set is topologically equivalent to a compact and connected semialgebraic set of the sphere with empty interior. Conversely, we show that any compact and connected semialgebraic set of the sphere with empty interior can be realized as a limit periodic set.

1. Introduction

The subject of this manuscript is the structure of limit periodic sets of planar polynomial vector fields, a central object in bifurcation theory and in the treatment of the Hilbert 16^{th} problem (see Roussarie's book [13] or Il'yashenko and Yakovenko's book [6]). For example, the program of Dumortier, Roussarie and Rousseau [5] to solve the existential part of the 16^{th} Hilbert problem for quadratic vector fields is divided in 121 case-by-case analysis based on the limit periodic sets. Following the spirit of [8, 11], our objective is to characterize topologically all limit periodic sets of polynomial families of planar vector fields.

We consider a real algebraic manifold Λ of dimension $n \geq 1$, which we call *parameter space*. A *family of planar vector fields* $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, is a vector field X_λ defined on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \Lambda$ which is tangent to the fibres of the projection $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Lambda \to \Lambda$. For any parameter $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, we denote by X_{λ_0} the restriction of X_λ to $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\lambda_0\}$, which we identify with $\mathbb{R}^2$. We say that the family $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is *polynomial* if for each $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ there exist local coordinate systems $x = (x_1, x_2)$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ centered at λ_0 such that $X_\lambda(x) = A_1(x, \lambda)\partial_{x_1} + A_2(x, \lambda)\partial_{x_2}$ where A_1 and A_2 are polynomials.

Given any polynomial vector field X on $\mathbb{R}^2$, we shall extend it to an analytic vector field, which we denote by $\hat{X}$, in the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ via a Bendixson compactification (see details in Section 2.1). Also, for every $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we will write $\hat{A}$ to denote the closure of A seen as a subset in the one-point compactification $\mathbb{S}^2$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

We recall the definition of limit periodic sets, which was first introduced by Françoise and Pugh [7, pp. 141].

Definition 1.1. A *limit periodic set* for a polynomial family of planar vector fields $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ at the parameter λ_0 is a closed set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ for which there exist a sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ in the parameter space Λ and a sequence $(\gamma_n)_n$ of topological circles in $\mathbb{R}^2$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34C07, 34C08; Secondary 14P10, 37G15.

Key words and phrases. Limit Periodic Sets, Ordinary Differential Equations, Semialgebraic sets.

such that $(\lambda_n)_n$ converges to λ_0 in Λ, $(\gamma_n)_n$ converges to $\hat{\Gamma}$ in the Hausdorff topology of $\mathbb{S}^2$ and, for every n, the vector field X_{λ_n} has γ_n as a limit cycle.

In terms of the structure of limit periodic sets, it is well-known that the Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem implies:

Proposition 1.2. *(See* [7, Proposition 1]*) Let $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a polynomial family of planar vector fields and Γ be a limit periodic set at the parameter λ_0. Then $\hat{\Gamma}$ is one of the following: (i) a singular point of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$; (ii) a periodic orbit of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$; (iii) a polycycle of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$ (that is, a cyclic ordered collection of singular points $a_1,\dots,a_k$ and arcs, given by integral curves, connecting them in the specific order: the jth arc connects a_j with a_{j+1}); (iv) a degenerate limit cycle, that is, it contains non-isolated singularities of the vector field $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$.*

While the above Proposition provides some key information about the nature of limit periodic sets, it does not fully characterize them. The present paper intends to fulfils this gap. A first characterization is provided by Panazzolo and Roussarie in [12], under the additional hypothesis that the first jet of the singular points of X_{λ_0} is non-vanishing. In the later paper, a first example of a limit periodic set which is neither a point, nor a topological circle is provided [12, Example 3.1]. In [2], the first author has provided a class of examples of limit periodic sets which are not homeomorphic to a circle. Here, we improve and generalize the construction of [2] in order to prove the converse of our main result:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a polynomial family of planar vector fields and Γ be a limit periodic set. Then $\hat{\Gamma}$ is topologically equivalent to a compact and connected semialgebraic set $Z\subset\mathbb{S}^2$ with empty interior, that is, there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi:\mathbb{S}^2\to\mathbb{S}^2$ such that $\varphi(\Gamma)=Z$.*

Conversely, if Γ is a non-empty closed semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with empty interior whose compactification $\hat{\Gamma}\subset\mathbb{S}^2$ is connected, there exists a polynomial family of planar vector fields $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ such that Γ is a limit periodic set of this family.

The rest of the paper is divided as follows: the aim of Section 2 is to prove the direct implication of Theorem 1.3 while Section 3 deals with the converse one.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the hospitality of the University of Toronto. The work of the first author is supported by LabEx CIMI. The second author is supported by Fundación Séneca through the program "Contratos Predoctorales de Formación del Personal Investigador", grant 18910/FPI/13 and by the MINECO grants MTM2014-52920-P.

2. Topology of limit periodic Sets

Along the Section, the reader is assumed to be familiar with some background in elementary Planar Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations; regarding this, [4] is a good reference.

In Subsection 2.1, we recall the constructions of the Bendixson compactification of a polynomial vector field on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Subsection 2.2 is devoted to present the notion of real semialgebraic sets and some of their elementary properties and, finally, in Subsection 2.3, we prove the direct part of Theorem 1.3

2.1. **Bendixson Compactification.** For the sake of simplicity, we present an adaptation of [13, Section 1.1.3.2] using real analysis notation.

The one-point compactification of the euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^2_\infty = \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ can be seen as a real analytic compact surface. Indeed, it is enough to consider the two local charts $(\mathbb{R}^2, z)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^2_\infty \setminus \{0\}, Z)$ where $z : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is the map given by the formula $z(x,y) = (r(x,y), s(x,y)) = (x,y)$ for every $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $Z : \mathbb{R}^2_\infty \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by $Z(x,y) = (u(x,y), v(x,y)) = (x/(x^2+y^2), -y/(x^2+y^2))$ if $(x,y) \neq \infty$ and $Z(\infty) = (u(\infty), v(\infty)) = 0$. The equations for the changes of coordinates $z \circ Z^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and $Z \circ z^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ are given by the analytic formulas $r = u/(u^2+v^2)$ and $s = -v/(u^2+v^2)$ and $u = r/(r^2+s^2)$ and $v = -s/(r^2+s^2)$ respectively; this justifies that $\{(\mathbb{R}^2, z), (\mathbb{R}^2_\infty \setminus \{0\}, Z)\}$ is an analytic atlas for $\mathbb{R}^2_\infty$. We denote by $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2_\infty \to \mathbb{R}^2_\infty$ the homeomorphism associated to this transition map (where $\phi(0) = \infty$ and $\phi(\infty) = 0$.

We will also refer to $\mathbb{R}^2_\infty$ as $\mathbb{S}^2$ and we shall call it the Bendixson compactification of $\mathbb{R}^2$. This notation is justify by the fact that $\mathbb{R}^2_\infty$ is analytically diffeomorphic to the standard euclidean unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2 = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2+y^2+z^2 = 1\}$: as a explicit analytic diffeomorphism we may consider the map $\psi : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2_\infty$ given by the formulas $\psi(0,0,1) = \infty$ and $\psi(x,y,z) = (x/(1-z), y/(1-z))$ if $(x,y,z) \neq (0,0,1)$. If we denote by d_2 the standard euclidean distance on $\mathbb{R}^3$, it follows that $\mathbb{R}^2_\infty$, with is natural topology as the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^2$, is a metrizable space; and as a compatible distance we can take the one given by $d(a,b) = d_2(\psi^{-1}(a), \psi^{-1}(b))$ for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2_\infty$.

Let P and Q be real polynomials in two variables and consider the algebraic planar vector field given by $X = P\partial_x + Q\partial_y$. If $d = \max\{\deg(P), \deg(Q)\}$, we may consider a vector field in the amplified euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^2_\infty$, $\hat{X}$, given by the formulas $\hat{X}(r,s) = \frac{1}{1+(r^2+s^2)^d}\left(P(r,s)\partial_r + Q(r,s)\partial_s\right)$ if $(r,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2_\infty \setminus \{\infty\}$ and $\hat{X}(\infty) = 0$. It is direct to show that $\hat{X}$ is well-defined and analytic in the whole $\mathbb{R}^2_\infty$.

2.2. **Semialgebraic sets.** Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a coordinate system of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Given any polynomial f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ we shall say that $(f(x) = 0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) = 0\}$ is a *algebraic set*. A more general concept is the following one.

Definition 2.1. (see [3, Section 1]) A subset $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *semialgebraic* if there exist polynomials f_i and g_{ij} on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 1, \ldots, p$ and $j = 1, \ldots, q$, such that

$$Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \bigcap_{j=1}^{q} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f_i(x) = 0 \text{ and } g_{ij}(x) > 0\}.$$

A set $Z \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is said to be semialgebraic if Z is a semialgebraic set of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

A fist collection of examples of semialgebraic sets is given by the finite unions of arcs linear by parts. For every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we will denote $[a,b] = \{a + sb : 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$, the straight arc joining a and b. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and call $l_j = [a_j, a_{j+1}]$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. If $l_j \cap l_{j'} = \emptyset$ when $|j - j'| \neq 1$ and $l_j \cap l_{j+1} = \{a_{j+1}\}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, we say that $L = \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} l_j$ is an *arc linear by parts*. The points a_1 and a_n are said to be the *endpoints* of L.

We next present a family of subsets of $\mathbb{S}^2$ which are topologically equivalent to semialgebraic sets.

Given any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that a topological space is an *n-star* if it is homeomorphic to $S_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^n \in [0,1]\}$. If Z is an n-star and $h : S_n \to X$

is a homeomorphism, then the image of the origin under h is called a *vertex of the star* while the components of $Z \setminus \{h(0)\}$ are called the *branches of the star*. Note that the vertex and the branches of a star are uniquely defined except in the cases $n = 1, 2$, when Z is just a closed arc and the vertexes are its endpoints (for $n = 1$) or its interior points (for $n = 2$). We shall also adopt the convention of calling any singleton a 0-star (the point being its vertex). When Y is a topological space and a is a point in Y which posses a neighbourhood $Z \subset Y$ being an *n-star* with a as vertex, we will say that a is a *star point in Y (of order n)*; if all the points in Y are star points, we will say that Y is a *generalized graph*.

An important family of examples of generalized graphs is given by the set of zeros of planar analytic maps.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ be an analytic map in an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $Z = \{z \in U : f(z) = 0\}$ be the set of zeros of f. Then either Z is a whole connected component of U or Z is a generalized graph.*

Proof. The result follows as a consequence of the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem and the theory of Puiseux Series (for a detailed record of the proof, see, for example, [8, p. 687]). □

We focus on a special subfamily of generalized graphs which shall play an important part in the prove of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\hat{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ be a connected generalized graph. Then $\hat{\Gamma}$ is topologically equivalent to a semialgebraic set.*

Proof. Let us start by noticing that, apart from composing a rotation with the homeomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2_\infty \to \mathbb{R}^2_\infty$ of the Bendixson compactification (see Section 2.1), we may suppose that there exists a compact and connected set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that its completion in $\mathbb{R}^2_\infty$ is equal to $\hat{\Gamma}$. Also, if we call $T \subset \Gamma$ the subset of points which are not star points of order 2, then T is a finite set. It is then enough to prove the result under the hypothesis of Γ being non-empty.

If T is empty, there is nothing to say: Γ is homeomorphic to $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 0\}$ [9, Theorem 2, p. 180]. Otherwise, let us say that $T = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ for some $m \geq 1$. For every $1 \leq j \leq m$ we can take a neighbourhood $B_j \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of a_j such that $B_j \cap T = \{a_j\}$ and $B_j \cap \Gamma$ is an n_j-star. Without lost of generality we can also assume that, for every $1 \leq j \leq m$, B_j is a standard euclidean compact ball of center a_j in $\mathbb{R}^2$, that ∂B_j meets Γ in exactly n_j points $b_{j,1}, \dots, b_{j,n_j}$ and, as a consequence, $B_j \cap \Gamma$ is homeomorphic to $M_j = \cup_{k=1}^{n_j} [a_j, b_{j,k}]$. Now any of the components of $\Gamma \setminus \cup_{j=1}^m B_j$ is a generalized graph consisting only of star points of order 2, let us say $U_1, \dots, U_\tau$ are those components. For any $1 \leq k \leq \tau$, we can take an arc linear by parts N_k whose endpoints coincide with the points in $\mathrm{Cl}(U_k) \setminus U_k$ and such that $\cup_{j=1}^m M_j \cup \cup_{k=1}^\tau N_k$ is homeomorphic to Γ. This last homeomorphism can be extended to a homeomorphism from the sphere to the sphere (see, for example, [1, Theorem 1]). □

2.3. **Topological properties of periodic limit sets.** Let $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a polynomial family of planar vector fields and, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $\hat{X}_\lambda$ be the analytic vector field on $\mathbb{S}^2$ described by the Bendixson compactification as in Section 2.1. Together with the family $\{\hat{X}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ we may consider the associated analytic flow $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2 \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{S}^2$.

The continuity of the flow already gives some topological and dynamical obstructions for the limit periodic sets: a limit periodic set at a parameter λ_0 must be invariant for X_{λ_0} and its compactification by one point must be connected.

Lemma 2.4. *If Γ is a limit periodic set at the parameter λ_0, then $\hat{\Gamma}$ is connected and invariant for $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$ (equivalently, Γ is invariant for X_{λ_0}).*

Proof. Let us start fixing a sequence in Λ, $(\lambda_n)_n$, and a sequence of topological circles in $\mathbb{R}^2$, $(\gamma_n)_n$, such that $(\hat{\gamma}_n)_n$ converges to $\hat{\Gamma}$ in the Hausdorff topology of $\mathbb{S}^2$ and, for every n, γ_n is a limit cycle of X_{λ_n}.

Firstly, we consider points $a \in \Gamma$ and $b = \phi(s, a, \lambda_0)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence of points $a_n \in \gamma_n$ converging to a. By the continuity of X_λ, the points $\phi(s, a_n, \lambda_n)$ converge to b so $b \in \Gamma$.

Next, to obtain a contradiction, let us suppose that $\hat{\Gamma}$ is not connected and choose two disjoint open sets V_1 and V_2 of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \Lambda$ which disconnect $\hat{\Gamma} \times \{0\}$. Since $\gamma_n \to \hat{\Gamma}$ in the Hausdorff topology, we conclude that $\gamma_n \subset V_1 \cup V_2$ and $\gamma_n \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\gamma_n \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$, for n sufficiently big. But this implies that γ_n is disconnected, which is impossible. □

From the analyticity of the flow Φ (we only need to use that it is of class C^1), the following important local property is established: any limit periodic set can meet at most once with any traversal. We formalize this property below.

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. An embedding $\sigma : I \to \mathbb{S}^2$ of class C^1 is called a *transverse section* of $\hat{X}_\lambda$ if, for any $s \in I$, the vectors $\dot{\lambda}(s)$ and $\hat{X}_\lambda(\lambda(s))$ are linearly independent. We shall also refer to $\lambda(I)$ as a *transverse section* of $\hat{X}_\lambda$.

If $a \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is a regular point of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$, then we can always find a positive real number $\varepsilon > 0$ and an analytic embedding $\sigma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \mathbb{S}^2$ being a transverse section of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$ with $\sigma(0) = a$. On the other hand, given any transverse section of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$, $\sigma : I \to \mathbb{S}^2$, it is clear that for any $t \in I$ we can take $I(t)$, an open neighbourhood of t in I, and $\Lambda(\lambda_0)$, a neighbourhood of λ_0 in Λ, such that the restriction of σ to $I(t)$ is a transverse section of $\hat{X}_\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda(\lambda_0)$. These observations, together with the *Flow Box Theorem* and the fact that any periodic orbit of a C^1 vector fields on the sphere can meet any transverse section only once, give the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *(see* [13, Lemma 2, p. 20]*) Let Γ be a limit periodic set at the parameter λ_0. Then any transverse section of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$ meets $\hat{\Gamma}$ at most once.*

The last ingredient we need is the well-known behaviour of analytic vector fields on the neighbourhood of isolated singular points, the so-called *finite sectorial decomposition property* (see [4, pp. 17–19]): every sufficiently small neighbourhood of an isolated singular point of a planar analytic vector field is either a center, a focus or a finite union of hyperbolic, parabolic and elliptic sectors.

We are now ready to prove the direct implication of Theorem 1.3. The work is done by the combination of Lemma 2.3 and the following result.

Lemma 2.6. *If Γ is a limit periodic set at the parameter λ_0, then $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a connected generalized graph.*

Proof. According with Lemma 2.4, $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a connected subset of $\mathbb{S}^2$ which is a union of orbits of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$. Therefore, we only need to prove that all the points of $\hat{\Gamma}$ are star points. We fix a point $a \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and we distinguish three cases.

If a is a regular point of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$, the *Flow Box Theorem* and Lemma 2.5 imply the existence of a neighbourhood of a, U_a, such that $\hat{\Gamma} \cap U_a$ is a 2-star.

Let us now assume that a is an isolated singular point of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$ and let U_a be a neighbourhood of a such that every point in $U_a \setminus \{a\}$ is a regular point of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$. If a is a center (respectively a node or a focus) for $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$, we can always find a transverse section accumulating at a and meeting at least once (respectively twice) any regular orbits of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$ in U_a so Lemma 2.5 guarantees that, after shrinking U_a if necessary, $\hat{\Gamma} \cap U_a = \{a\}$. Otherwise, we can consider characteristics orbits $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}$, with $n \geq 2$, defining a sectorial decomposition around a (we follow the notation of [4, pp. 17–19]). Using once again Lemma 2.5, we note that: at each parabolic sector there may exist only one regular orbit contained in $\hat{\Gamma}$; at each hyperbolic sector, apart from shrinking U_a, the intersection with $\hat{\Gamma}$ can only be the characteristic orbits c_j defining this sector; at each elliptic sector, apart from shrinking U_a and adding two new parabolic sectors, we may suppose that the intersection of the elliptic sector with $\hat{\Gamma}$ is empty. It follows from these observations that, also in this case, $\hat{\Gamma} \cap U_a$ is a star of vertex a.

Finally, if a is a non-isolated singularity of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$, it is well known that there exist a neighbourhood of a, U_a, an analytic map $f : U_a \to \mathbb{R}$ and an analytic vector field Y on U_a such that the restriction of $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$ to U_a coincides with the product $f\,Y$ and the vector field Y has no zeros in $U_a \setminus \{a\}$ (e. g. see [8, Theorem 4.5]).

Let us denote by Z the analytic set $f^{-1}(0)$ and note that, after shrinking U_a if necessary, Z is a star (with a as vertex) decomposing U_a into finitely many connected components any of which contains no singular points for $\hat{X}_{\lambda_0}$. Furthermore, by analyticity, there is no loss of generality in assuming that the neighbourhood U_a has been chosen such that each branch of Z is either invariant by Y or a transverse section of Y. Accordingly, $\hat{\Gamma} \cap U_a$ is the union of a subset of Z with regular orbits of Y.

The above observation allow us to adapt the argument given in the first two cases, mutatis mutandis, to the case when a is a regular point of Y, or when Y admits a sectorial decomposition at a (where we are again considering at least two characteristic orbits and among them appear at least all the branches of $Z \setminus \{a\}$ which are invariant by Y). We remark that the latter case includes the scenario of a being a node point for Y.

Finally, if a is a center or a focus point of Y, it is elementary to show that in any of the connected components of $U_a \setminus Z$ there exist a transverse section accumulating at a and at the frontier of U_a. Consequently, in these two cases, it may be conclude that, after shrinking U_a if necessary, $\hat{\Gamma} \cap U_a \subset Z$ and a is a star point. □

Clearly, the direct implication of Theorem 1.3 follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6.

3. Construction of limit periodic sets

3.1. **Properties of semialgebraic sets.** We are interested in planar semialgebraic sets $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with empty interior. Associated to any of these sets, we introduce a free-square polynomial whose set of zeros shall play an important role in the rest of the paper.

Let us start fixing a coordinate system for the plane $x = (x_1, x_2)$. If Γ is itself an algebraic set we simply take a free-squared polynomial f_Γ making $(f_\Gamma(x) = 0) = \Gamma$. Assume now that Γ is not an algebraic set; in particular, and because $\hat{\Gamma}$ is connected,

we note that none of the components of Γ can be singletons. Let f_i and $g_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq q$, be polynomials such that

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \bigcap_{j=1}^{q} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \, f_i(x) = 0 \text{ and } g_{ij}(x) > 0\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Without lost of generality, we can assume that all the f_i are irreducible and also, because Γ has empty interior, that all of them are non-constant and $(f_i(x) = 0) \cap \Gamma$ is one dimensional. Using the well-known fact that any two co-prime polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^2$ can meet only finitely many times, it is not difficult to reason that under such conditions the polynomials f_i in (3.1) are uniquely defined (up to the multiplication of non-zero constants). Let us take f_Γ as the free-square polynomial associated to the product $\prod_{i=1}^{p} f_i$; this polynomial verifies $\Gamma \subset (f_\Gamma(x) = 0)$ and is uniquely defined from (3.1) in the terms just expressed. In any of the two cases discussed above, we shall refer to the polynomial f_Γ as *the polynomial associated to* Γ. The set of zeros of f_Γ, which we shall denote by $A_\Gamma = (f_\Gamma(x) = 0)$, will be also said to be *the algebraic set associated to* Γ.

Definition 3.1. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a semialgebraic set with empty interior and such that $\hat{\Gamma}$ is connected and let f_Γ and A_Γ be its associated polynomial and algebraic set respectively. A point $a \in \Gamma$ is said to be:

(1) an *algebraic* point of Γ if there exists a neighbourhood of a in $\mathbb{R}^2$, U, such that $U \cap \Gamma = U \cap A_\Gamma$. We denote the set of algebraic points of Γ by $Alg(\Gamma)$;

(2) a *generic non-algebraic* point of Γ if $a \notin Alg(\Gamma)$ and A_Γ is regular at a (i. e. the gradient of f_Γ at a is non-zero). We denote the set of generic non-algebraic points of Γ by $Gen(\Gamma)$;

(3) a *non-generic non-algebraic* point of Γ if $a \notin Alg(\Gamma)$ and A_Γ is singular at a (i. e. the gradient of f_Γ vanishes at a). We denote the set of non-generic non-algebraic point of Γ by $NG(\Gamma)$.

Remark 3.2. The sets of non-algebraic points $Gen(\Gamma)$ and $NG(\Gamma)$ are both finite.

Remark 3.3. Let us assume that $NG(\Gamma)$ is non-empty, say $NG(\Gamma) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ for some positive integer r. For every $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, take a sufficiently small euclidean ball $B_k = B(a_k, \rho_k)$ centered at a_k with radius $\rho_k > 0$ and denote by n_k the number of connected components of $(A_\Gamma \setminus \Gamma) \cap B_k$ (the number n_k is the same for every sufficiently small $\rho_k > 0$). By *Newton-Puisseux Theorem*, for every $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, there exist sequences of points $(a_i^{j,k})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A_\Gamma \setminus \Gamma$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_k\}$, such that each sequence is contained in a different connected component of $(A_\Gamma \setminus \Gamma) \cap B_k$ and $a_i^{j,k} \to a_j$ when $i \to \infty$.

The following objects are used in Section 3.3: the number $n_\Gamma = \sum_{k=1}^{r} n_k$; the sequence of $\mathbb{R}^{2n_\Gamma}$ points $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by $\alpha_i = (a_i^{1,1}, \ldots, a_i^{n_1,1}, \ldots a_i^{1,r}, \ldots, a_i^{n_r,r})$; and the point $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n_\Gamma}$ which is equal to the limit of $(\alpha_i)_i$.

Remark 3.4. It follows from Remark 3.3 that there exists a sequence of semialgebraic sets with empty interior $(\Gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_i \subset A_\Gamma$, $NG(\Gamma_i) = \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_i \to \Gamma$ (in the Hausdorff topology) when $i \to \infty$. Moreover, the polynomials f_Γ and the algebraic set A_Γ are also the polynomial and the algebraic set associated to any of those Γ_i and $Gen(\Gamma_i) = Gen(\Gamma) \cup \{a_i^{1,1}, \ldots, a_i^{n_1,1}, \ldots a_i^{1,r}, \ldots, a_i^{n_r,r}\}$.

Now assume that Γ is compact and connected. There exists a finite number of (non-unique) points $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in Alg(\Gamma)$ which are regular points of the algebraic

set A_Γ and such that both $\Gamma \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (\Gamma \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_k\})$ are connected. We can always fix a certain number of these points, which we call *transition points*, and denote their set by $Tr(\Gamma)$. We remark that the minimal number k of transition points corresponds to the number of connected components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma$ minus one. Moreover, with the notation of Remark 3.4, the set $Tr(\Gamma)$ is a valid set of transition points for Γ_i, for all i sufficiently big.

3.2. **Construction of generic compact limit periodic sets.** Let us fix a connected and compact semialgebraic set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with empty interior and such that $NG(\Gamma) = \emptyset$. Let $f = f_\Gamma$ be the polynomial associated to Γ and A_Γ its set of zeros and fix a transition set for Γ, $Tr(\Gamma)$. Fix a coordinate system $x = (x_1, x_2)$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by S the set $Gen(\Gamma) \cup Tr(\Gamma)$, which is a finite set.

We consider the function

$$h(x, \lambda) = f(x)^2 - \lambda \prod_{p \in S} \left(\|x - p\|^2 - \lambda^2 \right),$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$, and the polynomial family of planar vector fields $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ where

$$X_\lambda = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} + h \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} \right) \partial_{x_1} + \left(-\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} + h \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} \right) \partial_{x_2}. \tag{3.2}$$

We devote the rest of the Section to show Γ is a limit periodic set of $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ at $\lambda = 0$. The key to achieve it is to understand how the level curves (in respect to the parameter λ) of h are. We shall start giving a local description of $(h(x, \lambda) = 0)$ in a neighbourhood of a point $(a, 0)$ where $a \in \Gamma$; we treat separately the cases $a \in Alg(\Gamma) \setminus Tr(\Gamma)$ (Lemma 3.5) and $a \in Gen(\Gamma) \cup Tr(\Gamma)$ (Lemma 3.6).

Here and subsequently, given any set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we will denote $A \cap (\lambda = t) = \{(x, \lambda) \in A : \lambda = t\}$; when convenient, we will also understand that $A \cap (\lambda = t)$ is identified with $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x, t) \in A\}$. In particular, Z_t which stands for the level curve $(h(x, \lambda) = 0) \cap (\lambda = t)$ will repeatedly be seen as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Lemma 3.5. *For every $a \in Alg(\Gamma) \setminus Tr(\Gamma)$ there exist a number $\epsilon_a > 0$ and a compact neighbourhood V_a of a such that $Z_t \cap V_a \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma$ for every $0 < t < \epsilon_a$. Moreover, for any connected component W of $V_a \setminus \Gamma$ and any $0 < t < \epsilon_a$, $Z_t \cap W$ is a non-empty connected regular curve which converges (in the Hausdorff topology) to $\mathrm{Cl}(W) \cap \Gamma$, when t tends to 0 (see Figure 1).*

Proof. Let us start considering a number $\epsilon_a > 0$, a compact neighbourhood $V_a \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of a and the coordinate system $z = x - a$ (which is centered at a) such that $h(z, \lambda) = f(z)^2 - \lambda u(z, \lambda)$, where $u(z, \lambda) > 0$ at all points in $V_a \times (\epsilon_a, -\epsilon_a)$.

By the *implicit function theorem*, we may assume that there exists an analytic function $\lambda : V_a \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(z, \lambda(z)) = 0$ for every $z \in V_a$.

We note that the curves $Z_t^a = Z_t \cap V_a$ correspond to the t-level curves of $\lambda(z)$, that is, $Z_t^a = (\lambda(z) = t)$. By continuity of $\lambda(z)$, shrinking V_a if necessary, this implies that Z_t^a converges (in the Hausdorff topology) to $\Gamma \cap V_a = (\lambda(z) = 0)$. Furthermore, since the level curves of non-constant analytic functions (restricted to a compact set) are generically regular, we conclude that Z_t^a are regular for all $t > 0$ sufficiently small.

Next, since $\lambda(z) \geq 0$ for every $z \in V_a$, we conclude that, for every component W of $V_a \setminus \Gamma$, $Z_t^a \cap W$ is non-empty for all small enough $t > 0$.



FIGURE 1. A regular point (left) and a singular point (right).

Finally, fix a component W of $V_a \setminus \Gamma$ and suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence $(t_n)_n$ converging to 0 and such that $Z^a_{t_n} \cap W$ is not connected. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\mathrm{Cl}(W)$ is a compact semialgebraic set. Denote by Γ_W the semialgebraic set $\mathrm{Cl}(W) \cap \Gamma$. By the curve selection Lemma (see for example [10, Lemma 3.1]), there exists an analytic curve $\phi : [0,1] \to \mathrm{Cl}(W)$ such that $\phi(1) = a \in \Gamma_W$, $\phi(t) \in \mathrm{Cl}(W) \setminus \Gamma_W$ for all $t \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(W) \setminus \phi([0,1])$ is not connected. Since all connected components of Z^a_t must converge to Γ_W, we conclude that the curve $\phi([0,1])$ intersects each of the components of Z^a_t. This implies that the function $\lambda \circ \phi$ is constant and equal to 0 (the value at $\phi(1)$), which is a contradiction. □

Lemma 3.6. *For every $a \in Gen(\Gamma) \cup Tr(\Gamma)$, there exist a neighbourhood V_a of a, a positive $\epsilon_a > 0$ and a coordinate system (y, λ) defined on $V_a \times (-\epsilon_a, \epsilon_a)$ and centered at $(a, 0)$ such that $A_\Gamma \cap V_a = (y_1 = 0)$ and*

$$h(y,\lambda) = u(y,\lambda)\left[y_1^2 - \lambda\left(y_2^2 - \lambda^2\right)\right] \tag{3.3}$$

where $u(y, \lambda)$ is a unit over $V_a \times (-\epsilon_a, \epsilon_a)$ (see Figure 2).

Proof. Consider the coordinate system $z = x - a$ (which is centered at a) and note that in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $(a, 0)$ of the form $U_a = V_a \times (-\epsilon_a, \epsilon_a)$, we can write

$$h(z,\lambda) = f(z)^2 - \lambda\left[z_1^2 + z_2^2 - \lambda^2\right] u(z,\lambda)$$

where $u(z, \lambda) > 0$ at all points in U_a. Apart from shrinking U_a, we can suppose that $\nabla f(z) \neq 0$ at all points in U_a. Therefore (apart from a preliminary rotation) the change of coordinates $\widetilde{y}_1 = u(z,\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(z) = \xi z_1 + \psi(z, \lambda)$ (where $\xi \neq 0$ and $\psi(z, \lambda)$ has order at least two) and $\widetilde{y}_2 = z_2$ is an isomorphism on U_a. We get

$$h(\widetilde{y},\lambda) = u(\widetilde{y},\lambda)\left(\widetilde{y}_1^2 - \lambda\left(\widetilde{y}_1^2 + \widetilde{y}_2^2 v\left(\widetilde{y},\lambda\right) - \lambda^2\right)\right)$$

where $v(\widetilde{y}, \lambda)$ is an analytic function such that $v(0, 0) > 0$. Finally, apart from shrinking U_a, the change of coordinates $y_1 = \widetilde{y}_1\sqrt{1+\lambda}$ and $y_2 = \widetilde{y}_2\sqrt{v(\widetilde{y},\lambda)}$ is an isomorphism making

$$h(y,\lambda) = u(y,\lambda)\left[y_1^2 - \lambda\left(y_2^2 - \lambda^2\right)\right]$$

and $(y_1 = 0) = (\widetilde{y}_1 = 0) = (f(z) = 0) \cap V_a$ as we wanted to prove. □

Proposition 3.7. *There exist an open neighbourhood U of $\Gamma \times \{0\}$ and a number $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for every $0 < t < \epsilon$, $Z_t \cap U$ contains a compact and regular connected component γ_t such that $\gamma_t \to \Gamma$ (in the Hausdorff topology) when $t \to 0$.*



FIGURE 2. A transition point (left) and a generic point (right).

Proof. For every $a \in \Gamma$ take a neighbourhood V_a of a and a number $\epsilon_a > 0$ as in Lemma 3.5 or 3.6. The compacity of Γ allows us to take a relatively compact open neighbourhood U of $\Gamma \times \{0\}$, of the form $U = V \times (-\delta, \delta)$ with $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\delta > 0$, such that $U \subset \bigcup_{a\in\Gamma} U_a$.

Note that, from the two previous lemmas, we can assume that $Z_t \cap U$ is regular for every sufficiently small $t > 0$. Also, the continuity of h guarantees that $Z_t \cap U$ converges to $A_\Gamma \cap V$ when t tends to 0 (in the Hausdorff topology).

Let us fix a point $b \in Alg(\Gamma) \setminus Tr(\Gamma)$ and W a component of $V_b \setminus \Gamma$. For every sufficiently small $t > 0$, let us call γ_t the connected component of Z_t which meets W (see Lemma 3.5). Let $\gamma_0 \subset A_\Gamma$ denote the limit of γ_t when $t \to 0$ (which contains $b \in \Gamma$). We are then left with the task of proving that $\gamma_0 = \Gamma$.

We start showing that $\gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$. We proceed by contradiction assuming the existence of a point $c \in \gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma$. After shrinking V and V_a for $a \in Gen(\Gamma)$ if necessary, we may suppose that the points b and c lies in different connected components of the set $R = V \setminus \bigcup_{a\in Gen(\Gamma)} V_a$. In particular, $\gamma_t \cap R$ is disconnected and these disconnected components can only join each other by passing through one of the open sets U_a with $a \in Gen(\Gamma)$. This leads to contradiction with Lemma 3.6.

Since γ_t is a connected regular curve, $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \gamma_t$ must consists in exactly two connected components, say C_t^1 and C_t^2. Now, for every $\epsilon_0 > 0$, consider the set $\gamma_{\epsilon_0} = \gamma_0 \setminus \cup_{a\in Tr(\Gamma)} B(a, \epsilon_0)$. We claim that, for every small enough $t > 0$,

$$\gamma_{\epsilon_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \gamma_t. \tag{3.4}$$

Indeed, let us suppose that γ_{ϵ_0} meets both C_t^1 and C_t^2 for all small $t > 0$. Since γ_t can only cross points of Γ near $a \in Tr(\Gamma) \cup Gen(\Gamma)$, we conclude that there exists a point $a \in Tr(\Gamma)$ such that γ_t crosses $\Gamma \cap V_a$ in such a way that $\gamma_{\epsilon_0} \cap C_t^1 \cap V_a$ and $\gamma_{\epsilon_0} \cap C_t^2 \cap V_a$ Γ are both non-empty. But this gives us again a contradiction with Lemma 3.6.

Finally, let us denote by W_i, $i = 1, \dots k$, the connected components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma$ and set I as the subset of indexes $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $W_i \cap \gamma_t \neq \emptyset$ for all sufficiently small $t > 0$ (note that, by construction, I is non-empty). The proof is completed by showing that $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $\gamma_0 = \cup_{i\in I}(\mathrm{Cl}(W_i) \setminus W_i)$. We argue in two steps.

First, suppose by contradiction that $\gamma_0 \neq \cup_{i\in I}(\mathrm{Cl}(W_i) \setminus W_i)$. Without restriction of generality, we can suppose that $1 \in I$ and $\gamma_0 \cap (\mathrm{Cl}(W_1) \setminus W_1) \neq \mathrm{Cl}(W_1) \setminus W_1$. We consider a point $c \in \mathrm{Cl}(W_1) \setminus W_1$ which does not belong to γ_0 and an analogous family of ovals $\alpha_t \subset Z_t$ constructed in the same way as γ_t but in respect to c and the connected set W_1. By (3.4), we conclude that $\alpha_0 \cap \gamma_0$ can only contain points which

lie in $Tr(\Gamma)$. This implies that $\Gamma \setminus Tr(\Gamma)$ has at least two disconnected components $\gamma_0 \setminus Tr(\Gamma)$ and $\alpha_0 \setminus Tr(\Gamma)$, which is in contradiction with the definition of $Tr(\Gamma)$.

Next, suppose, by contradiction, that $\gamma_0 = \cup_{i\in I}(\mathrm{Cl}(W_i) \setminus W_i)$ but $I \neq \{1, \dots, k\}$. Denote by $\Gamma_0 = \cup_{i\notin I}(\mathrm{Cl}(W_i) \setminus W_i)$. Since the level curve Z_t can only cross points of Γ near $a \in Tr(\Gamma) \cup Gen(\Gamma)$ (see Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6), we conclude that $\gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_0 \cap Tr(\Gamma) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $\gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma \setminus Tr(\Gamma)$ disconnects $\mathbb{R}^2$, which is again in contradiction with the choice of $Tr(\Gamma)$.

We conclude the proof by remarking that, since γ_t converges to Γ, for small enough $t > 0$, γ_t must be a compact set contained in the interior of U. □

After noticing that any compact and regular connected component of a planar algebraic set is a topological circle [9, Theorem 2, p. 180], it follows from Proposition 3.7 that the polynomial family of planar vector fields given by (3.2) has Γ as a limit periodic set at $\lambda = 0$. Indeed, it is enough to prove that, for every sufficiently small $t > 0$, the topological circle $\gamma_t \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ given by Proposition 3.7 is a limit periodic set of X_t. To show this, it suffices to note that

$$\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} + h\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1}\right)\frac{\partial h}{\partial_{x_1}} + \left(-\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} + h\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2}\right)\frac{\partial h}{\partial_{x_2}} = h\,\|\nabla h\|^2$$

and, as a consequence, Z_t is an invariant set containing any periodic orbit of X_t. Finally, the fact that γ_t is regular guarantees that it is a periodic orbit. This proves the converse of Theorem 1.3 (under the extra assumption that Γ is compact and generic).

3.3. **Construction of non-generic compact limit periodic sets.** Let us now fix a connected and compact semialgebraic set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with empty interior and $NG(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$. Denote by $f = f_\Gamma$ the polynomial associated to Γ, A_Γ the associated algebraic set and $S = Gen(\Gamma) \cup Tr(\Gamma)$. Fix a coordinate system $x = (x_1, x_2)$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and parameters $(\alpha, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n_\Gamma+1}$ where $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n_\Gamma}$. We consider the function

$$h(x, \alpha, \lambda) = f(x)^2 - \lambda \prod_{p\in S}\left(\|x - p\|^2 - \lambda^2\right)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\|x - \alpha^i\|^2 - \lambda^2\right).$$

Let us take the number n_Γ, the sequence $(\alpha_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and the point α_0 as in Remark 3.3 and, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider $h_i(x, \lambda) = h(x, \alpha_i, \lambda)$. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we can apply Proposition 3.7 to the semialgebraic set Γ_i introduced in Remark 3.4 to deduce that there exists a value $0 < \lambda_i < \frac{1}{i}$ such that the level set $(h_i(x, \lambda) = 0) \cap (\lambda = \lambda_i)$ contains a subset γ_i which is regular connected, compact and $\frac{1}{i}$-close (in respect to the Hausdorff topology) to Γ_i. Furthermore, apart from shrinking λ_i if necessary, we can suppose that $\gamma_i \cap NG(\Gamma) = \emptyset$, because $NG(\Gamma) \subset Alg(\Gamma_i)$ and Lemma 3.5. In particular, note that $\gamma_i \to \Gamma$ when $i \to \infty$ since Γ_i converges to Γ.

Remark 3.8. We note that, for every point $a \in \Gamma$, there exists $N > 0$ such that $\gamma_i \cap \{a\} = \emptyset$ for every $i > N$. Indeed, by construction γ_i only crosses $\Gamma_i \supset \Gamma$ near the points $Tr(\Gamma) \cup Gen(\Gamma_i)$. So, assuming by contradiction that there exists a point $a \in \Gamma$ so that $\gamma_i \cap \{a\} \neq \emptyset$ for an infinite number of i, we conclude that $a \in Tr(\Gamma) \cup Gen(\Gamma) \cup NG(\Gamma)$. Next, by Lemma 3.6 we conclude that $a \in NG(\Gamma)$, which contradicts the choice of λ_i.

It follows from the above considerations (just as in the previous Section) that the algebraic family of vector fields

$$X_{\alpha,\lambda} = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} + h\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1}\right)\partial_{x_1} + \left(-\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1}h + h\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2}\right)\partial_{x_2}$$

has Γ as a limit periodic set at $(\alpha, \lambda) = (\alpha_0, 0)$.

3.4. **Construction of unbounded limit periodic sets.** Finally, let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a closed and unbounded semialgebraic set with empty interior whose compactification $\hat{\Gamma}$ is connected. Apart from considering a translation of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we can assume that $(0,0) \notin \Gamma$.

Let us consider the transition map of the Bendixson compactification $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $\phi(x_1, x_2) = (x_1/r, -x_2/r)$ where $r = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ (see Section 2.1).

Note that $\phi(\Gamma)$ is a semialgebraic set (by e. g. [3, Corollary 1.8]), whose closure $Z = \phi(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$ is a compact and connected semialgebraic set with empty interior. By the previous Sections, there exist a polynomial family of planar vector fields $(Y_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and a parameter λ_0 such that Z is a limit periodic set for the family $(Y_\lambda)_\lambda$ at λ_0. We denote by $(z_{\lambda_n})_n$ the sequence of limit cycles of Y_λ which converge to Z.

Let us now consider the map $\Phi : (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Lambda$ given by $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = (\phi(x_1, x_2), \lambda)$. The pull-back $\Phi^*(Y_\lambda)$ is rational and there exists an integer $d \geq 0$ such that $X_\lambda = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^d \Phi^*(Y_\lambda)$ is a polynomial family of vector fields. According to Remark 3.8, for every sufficiently big n, z_{λ_n} does not intersect the origin so $\Phi^{-1}(z_{\lambda_n})$ is itself a limit cycles of X_{λ_n}. It follows from the construction that Γ is a limit periodic set of $(X_\lambda)_\lambda$ at λ_0.

References

[1] V. W. Adkisson and S. MacLane, *Extending maps of plane Peano continua, Duke Math. J.* **6** (1940), 216–228.

[2] A. Belotto. Analytic varieties as limit periodic sets. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **11** (2012), no. 2, 449–465.

[3] E. Bierstone and P. Milman. Semianalytic and subanalytic sets. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1988), no. 67, 5–42.

[4] F. Dumortier, J. Llibre and J. C. Artés. Qualitative theory of planar differential systems. *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin, 2006.

[5] F. Dumortier, R. Roussarie and C. Rousseau. Hilbert's 16th problem for quadratic vector fields. J. Differential Equations **110**, (1994), no. 1, 86-133.

[6] Y. Il'yashenko and S. Yakovenko Concerning the Hilbert sixteenth problem, in "Concerning the Hilbert 16th Problem", 1–19. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 165. *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, RI, 1995.

[7] J. P. Françoise and C. Pugh, Keeping track of limit cycles, J. Differential Equations **65** (1986), no.2, 139–157.

[8] V. Jiménez López and J. Llibre. A topological characterization of the ω-limit sets for analytic flows on the plane, the sphere and the projective plane, the sphere and the projective plane. *Advances in Mathematics* **216** (2007), no.2, 677–710.

[9] K. Kuratowski, Topology. Vol. II, *Academic Press*, New York, 1968.

[10] J. Milnor. Singular points of complex hypersurfaces. Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 61. *Princeton University Press*, New Jersey, 1968.

[11] J. Llibre and G. Rodríguez, Configurations of limit cycles and planar polynomial vector fields. *J. Differential Equations* **198**, (2004), no. 2, 374–380.

[12] D. Panazzolo and R. Roussarie. A Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for analytic families of vector fields. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.* (N.S.) **26** (1995), no. 1, 85–116.

[13] R. Roussarie. Bifurcations of Planar Vector Fields and Hilbert's Sixteenth Problem. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 164 *Birkhäuser Verlag*, Basel, 1998.

AB: Université Paul Sabatier, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
E-mail address, A. Belotto: andrebelotto@gmail.com

JE: Universidad de Murcia, Departamento de Matemáticas, Campus de Espinardo, 30100 Murcia, Spain
E-mail address, J. G. Espín: josegines.espin@um.es